arXiv:1210.2214v1 [math.AC] 8 Oct 2012

Stanley depth of quotient of monomial complete intersection ideals

Mircea Cimpoeaş

Abstract

We compute the Stanley for a particular, but important case, of the quotient of complete intersection monomial ideals. Also, in the general case, we give sharp bounds for the Stanley depth of a quotient of complete intersection monomial ideals. In particular, it satisfies the Stanley conjecture.

Keywords: Stanley depth, Stanley conjecture, monomial ideal.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 13P10.

Introduction

Let K be a field and $S = K[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ the polynomial ring over K. Let M be a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded S-module. A *Stanley decomposition* of M is a direct sum $\mathcal{D} : M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r m_iK[Z_i]$ as a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded K-vector space, where $m_i \in M$ is homogeneous with respect to $\mathbb{Z}^n$-grading, $Z_i \subset \{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}$ such that $m_iK[Z_i] = \{um_i : u \in K[Z_i]\} \subset M$ is a free $K[Z_i]$-submodule of M. We define $\operatorname{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}) = \min_{i=1,\ldots,r}|Z_i|$ and $\operatorname{sdepth}_S(M) = \max\{\operatorname{sdepth}(\mathcal{D})|\ \mathcal{D}$ is a Stanley decomposition of $M\}$. The number $\operatorname{sdepth}_S(M)$ is called the *Stanley depth* of M. Stanley [8] conjectured that $\operatorname{sdepth}_S(M) \geq \operatorname{depth}_S(M)$ for any $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded S-module M. Herzog, Vladoiu and Zheng show in [4] that $\operatorname{sdepth}_S(M)$ can be computed in a finite number of steps if $M = I/J$, where $J \subset I \subset S$ are monomial ideals. However, it is difficult to compute this invariant, even in some very particular cases.

In section 1, we consider the case of quotients of irreducible monomial ideals, and we give a formula for their Stanley depth, see Theorem 1.5. In section 2, we consider the general case of two complete intersection monomial ideals $J \subset I \subset S$. In Theorem 2.4 we give sharp bounds for $\operatorname{sdepth}(J/I)$. Remark 2.10 shows that these bounds are best possible. However, in a particular case, we give an explicit formula for $\operatorname{sdepth}(J/I)$, see Theorem 2.9.

1 The case or irreducible ideals

Lemma 1.1. *Let b be a positive integer, denote $S' = K[x_2,\ldots,x_n]$ and let $I \subsetneq J \subset S'$ be two monomial ideals. Then*

$$(x_1^b, J)/(x_1^b, I) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{b-1} x_1^i(J/I).$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{sdepth}_S((x_1^b, J)/(x_1^b, I)) = \operatorname{sdepth}_{S'}(J/I)$.

---

[1] The support from the UEFISCDI grant 247/2011 of Romanian Ministry of Education, Research and Innovation is gratefully acknowledged.

Proof. Let $u \in (x_1^b, J) \setminus (x_1^b, I)$ be a monomial. Then $u = x_1^i \cdot u'$, for some nonnegative integer i and some monomial $u' \in S'$. Since $u \notin (x_1^b, I)$, it follows that $i < b$ and, also, $u' \notin I$. On the other hand, since $u \in (x_1^b, J)$ and $u \notin x_1^b S$, it follows that $u' \in J$. Therefore, $u \in x_1^i(J/I)$. Conversely, if we take a monomial $u' \in J \setminus I$ and an integer $0 \leq i < b$, one can easily see that $u := x_1^i \cdot u' \in (x_1^b, J) \setminus (x_1^b, I)$.

The decomposition $(x_1^b, J)/(x_1^b, I) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{b-1} x_1^i(J/I)$ implies $\operatorname{sdepth}_S((x_1^b, J)/(x_1^b, I)) \geq \operatorname{sdepth}_{S'}(J/I)$ and, also, $J/I = ((x_1^b, J)/(x_1^b, I)) \cap S'$. In order to prove the other inequality, we consider a Stanley decomposition of $(x_1^b, J)/(x_1^b, I) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} v_i K[Z_i]$. Note that $v_i K[Z_i] \cap S' = \{0\}$ if $x_1 | u$ and, otherwise, $v_i K[Z_i] \cap S' = v_i K[Z_i]$. Therefore, $J/I = \bigoplus_{x_1 \nmid v_i} v_i K[Z_i]$ and thus $\operatorname{sdepth}_{S'}(J/I) \geq \operatorname{sdepth}_S((x_1^b, J)/(x_1^b, I))$ as required. ☐

An easy corollary of Lemma 1.1 is the following.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $0 \leq m < n$ be an integer. Then,*

$$\operatorname{sdepth}((x_1, \ldots, x_n)/(x_1, \ldots, x_m)) = n - m - \left\lfloor \frac{n-m}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Proof. We use induction on m. If $m = 0$, then, by [1, Theorem 1.1], $\operatorname{sdepth}((x_1, \ldots, x_n)) = \lceil n/2 \rceil = n - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, as required. The case $m = n$ is trivial.

Now, assume $1 \leq m < n$. Let $S' = K[x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ and denote $J = (x_2, \ldots, x_n) \subset S'$ and $I = (x_2, \ldots, x_m) \subset S'$. According to Lemma 1.1, $(x_1, J)/(x_1, I) \cong J/I$ and thus, by induction hypothesis, $\operatorname{sdepth}_S((x_1, \ldots, x_n)/(x_1, \ldots, x_m)) = \operatorname{sdepth}_{S'}(J/I) = (n-1) - (m-1) - \left\lfloor \frac{(n-1)-(m-1)}{2} \right\rfloor = n - m - \lfloor \frac{n-m}{2} \rfloor$, which complete the proof. ☐

Lemma 1.3. *Let $1 \leq a < b$ be two integers, denote $S' = K[x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ and let $J \subset S'$ be a monomial ideals. Then*

$$(x_1^a, J)/(x_1^b, J) \cong \bigoplus_{i=a}^{b-1} x_1^i(S/J).$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{sdepth}_S((x_1^a, J)/(x_1^b, J)) = \operatorname{sdepth}_{S'}(S'/J)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 1.1. In order to prove the K-vector spaces isomorphism $(x_1^a, J)/(x_1^b, J) \cong \bigoplus_{i=a}^{b-1} x_1^i(S/J)$, it is enough to see that a monomial $u \in (x_1^a, J) \setminus (x_1^b, J)$ if and only if $u = x_1^i \cdot u'$, for some integer $a \leq i < b$ and some monomial $u' \in S \setminus I$. The isomorphism implies $\operatorname{sdepth}_S((x_1^a, J)/(x_1^b, J)) \geq \operatorname{sdepth}_{S'}(S'/J)$ and in order to prove the other inequality, as in Lemma 1.1, it is enough to note that $x_1^a(S'/J) = ((x_1^a, J)/(x_1^b, J)) \cap x_1^a S'$. ☐

Lemma 1.4. *Let $1 \leq a < b$ be two integers and denote $S' := K[x_2, \ldots, x_n]$. Let $I \subset J \subset S'$ be two monomial ideals. Then,*

$$(1) (x_1^a, J)/(x_1^b, I) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{b-1} x_1^i(J/I) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=a}^{b-1} x_1^i(S'/J) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{a-1} x_1^i(J/I) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=a}^{b-1} x_1^i(S'/I).$$

$$(2) \operatorname{sdepth}_S((x_1^a, J)/(x_1^b, I)) \geq \min\{\operatorname{sdepth}_{S'}(J/I), \max\{\operatorname{sdepth}_{S'}(S'/J), \operatorname{sdepth}_{S'}(S'/I)\}\}.$$

Proof. Note that $(x_1^a, J)/(x_1^b, I) \cong (x_1^a, J)/(x_1^b, J) \oplus (x_1^b, J)/(x_1^b, I)$ as K-vector spaces. Using Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.3 we obtain the first decomposition of $(x_1^a, J)/(x_1^b, I)$ from (1). The second decomposition follows from $(x_1^a, J)/(x_1^b, I) \cong (x_1^a, J)/(x_1^a, I) \oplus (x_1^a, I)/(x_1^b, I)$, Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.3. (2) is a direct consequence of (1). $\square$

Theorem 1.5. *Let $0 \leq m \leq n$ be two integers. Let $a_i \geq 1$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $b_i \geq a_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq m$, be some integers. Then* $\operatorname{sdepth}((x_1^{a_1}, \ldots, x_n^{a_n})/(x_1^{b_1}, \ldots, x_m^{b_m})) = n - m - \left\lfloor \frac{n-m}{2} \right\rfloor$.

In particular, $\operatorname{sdepth}((x_1^{a_1}, \ldots, x_n^{a_n})/(x_1^{b_1}, \ldots, x_m^{b_m})) \geq \operatorname{depth}((x_1^{a_1}, \ldots, x_n^{a_n})/(x_1^{b_1}, \ldots, x_m^{b_m}))$.

Proof. We use induction on m. If $m = 0$, by [2, Theorem 1.3], $\operatorname{sdepth}((x_1^{a_1}, \ldots, x_n^{a_n})) = \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil = n - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$, as required. If $m = n$, then $(x_1^{a_1}, \ldots, x_n^{a_n})/(x_1^{b_1}, \ldots, x_n^{b_m})$ is a finite K-vector space and thus its Stanley depth is 0.

Now, assume $1 \leq m < n$. We denote $S' = K[x_2, \ldots, x_n]$, $J = (x_2^{a_2}, \ldots, x_n^{a_n}) \subset S'$ and $I = (x_2^{b^2}, \ldots, x_2^{b^m}) \subset S'$. By induction hypothesis, we have $\operatorname{sdepth}_{S'}(J/I) = n - 1 - (m - 1) - \left\lfloor \frac{n-m}{2} \right\rfloor = n - m - \left\lfloor \frac{n-m}{2} \right\rfloor$. On the other hand, by [6, Theorem 1.1] or [4, Lemma 3.6], $\operatorname{sdepth}_{S'}(S'/I) = \operatorname{sdepth}_{S'}(S'/J) = n - m$. Thus, according to Lemma 1.4, we have $\operatorname{sdepth}((x_1^{a_1}, \ldots, x_n^{a_n})/(x_1^{b_1}, \ldots, x_m^{b_m})) \geq \operatorname{sdepth}_{S'}(J/I) = n - m - \left\lfloor \frac{n-m}{2} \right\rfloor$.

If $a_1 = b_1$, by Lemma 1.1, we are done. Assume $a_1 < b_1$. We denote $a = a_1$, $b = b_1$ and we consider the decomposition $(x_1^a, J)/(x_1^b, I) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{a-1} x_1^i (J/I) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=a}^{b-1} x_1^i (S'/I)$ given by Lemma 1.4. As in the proof of Lemma 1.1, we consider a Stanley decomposition $(x_1^a, J)/(x_1^b, I) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} v_j K[Z_j]$. It follows that $J/I = ((x_1^a, J)/(x_1^b, I)) \cap S'$ and thus, $J/I = \bigoplus_{x_1 \nmid v_i} v_i K[Z_i]$.

In order to complete the proof, notice that $\operatorname{depth}((x_1^{a_1}, \ldots, x_n^{a_n})/(x_1^{b_1}, \ldots, x_m^{b_m})) = 1$ if $n > m$ and 0, if $m = n$. $\square$

2 The case of complete intersection ideals

Lemma 2.1. *Let $1 \leq m < n$ be an integer, $I_1 \subsetneq J_1 \subset S' := K[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ be two distinct monomial ideals and let $I \subset S'' = K[x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_n]$ be a monomial ideal. Then*

$$\operatorname{sdepth}_S\left(\frac{(J_1, I)}{(I_1, I)}\right) \geq \operatorname{sdepth}_{S''}\left(\frac{S''}{I}\right) + \operatorname{sdepth}_{S'}\left(\frac{J_1}{I_1}\right).$$

Proof. Let $u \in (J_1, I) \setminus (I_1, I)$ be a monomial. We write $u = u' \cdot u''$, where $u' \in S'$ and $u'' \in S''$. Since $u \in (J_1, I)$, it follows that $u \in J_1 S$ or $u \in IS$. On the other hand, since $u \notin (I_1, I)$, it follows that $u \notin I_1 S$ and $u \notin IS$. Therefore, we get $u \in J_1 S$ and so $u' \in J_1$. Also, since $u \notin IS$, it follows that $u'' \notin I$. Similarly, we have $u' \notin I_1$. Thus, u is a product between a monomial from $J_1 \setminus I_1$ and a monomial from $S'' \setminus I$. Also, if we take two arbitrary monomials $u' \in J_1 \setminus I_1$ and $u'' \in S'' \setminus I_2$, one can easily check that $u' \cdot u'' \in (J_1, I) \setminus (I_1, I)$.

In consequence, given two Stanley decompositions $J_1/I_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} u_i K[Z_i]$ and $S''/I = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} v_j K[Y_j]$, it follows that $(J_1, I)/(I_1, I) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} u_i v_j K[Z_i \cup Y_j]$ is a Stanley decomposition and, thus, $\operatorname{sdepth}_S((J_1, I)/(I_1, I)) \geq \operatorname{sdepth}_{S'}(J_1/I_1) + \operatorname{sdepth}_{S''}(S''/I)$. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $1 \leq m < n$ *be an integer,* $I_1 \subsetneq J_1 \subset S' := K[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ *be two monomial ideals and* $I_2 \subset J_2 \subsetneq S'' := K[x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_n]$ *be other monomial ideals. Then:*

$$\operatorname{sdepth}_S \frac{(J_1, J_2)}{(I_1, I_2)} \geq \min\{\operatorname{sdepth}_{S'}\left(\frac{S'}{J_1}\right) + \operatorname{sdepth}_{S''}\left(\frac{J_2}{I_2}\right), \operatorname{sdepth}_{S''}\left(\frac{S''}{I_2}\right) + \operatorname{sdepth}_{S'}\left(\frac{J_1}{I_1}\right)\}.$$

Proof. We consider the K-vector spaces isomorphism $(J_1, J_2)/(I_1, I_2) \cong (J_1, J_2)/(J_1, I_2) \oplus (J_1, I_2)/(I_1, I_2)$ and we apply Lemma 2.1. $\square$

If $u \in S$ is a monomial, we denote $\operatorname{supp}(u) = \{x_i : x_i | u\}$ the *support* of the monomial u.

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $u_1, \ldots, u_m \in S$ *and* $v_1, \ldots, v_m \in S$ *be two regular sequence of monomials, such that* $v_i | u_i$ *and* $v_j \neq u_j$ *for some index* j*. Then* $\operatorname{sdepth}((u_1, \ldots, u_m)/(v_1, \ldots, v_m)) = n - m$*. Moreover,* $(u_1, \ldots, u_m)/(v_1, \ldots, v_m)$ *has a Stanley decomposition whit all its Stanley spaces of dimension* $n - m$*.*

Proof. We use induction on $m \geq 1$. If $m = 1$, then $(u_1)/(v_1) \cong S/(v_1/u_1)$ and therefore $\operatorname{sdepth}((u_1)/(v_1)) = n - 1$, by [6, Theorem 1.1]. Assume $m > 1$. We apply Lemma 2.2 for $J_1 = (u_1, \ldots, u_{m-1})$, $J_2 = (u_m)$, $I_1 = (v_1, \ldots, v_{m-1})$ and $I_2 = (v_m)$.

We get $\operatorname{sdepth}((u_1, \ldots, u_m)/(v_1, \ldots, v_m)) \geq \min\{\operatorname{sdepth}(S/J_1) - 1, \operatorname{sdepth}(S/I_2) - 1\} = n - m + 1 - 1 = n - m$. In order to prove the other inequality, let $uK[Z]$ be a s Stanley space of $(u_1, \ldots, u_m)/(v_1, \ldots, v_m)$. Since $v_i S \cap uK[Z] = (0)$, it follows that there exists an index j_i such that $x_{j_i} \notin Z$. Now, since the $v_1, \ldots v_m$ is an exact sequence, their supports are disjoint and therefore $\{x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_m}\}$ is a set of m variables which do not belong to Z and thus $|Z| \leq n - m$. $\square$

Theorem 2.4. *Let* $J \subsetneq I \subset S$ *be two monomial complete intersection ideals. Assume* J *is generated by* q *monomials and* I *is generated by* p *monomials. Then:*

$$n - p \geq \operatorname{sdepth}(J/I) \geq n - p - \left\lfloor \frac{q-p}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Proof. Assume $J = (u_1, \ldots, u_q)$ and $I = (v_1, \ldots, v_p)$. Since $v_1, \ldots, v_p$ is a regular sequence on S, their supports are disjoint. If we take a Stanley space $vK[Z] \subset J/I$ it follows, as in the proof of Lemma 2.3 that $|Z| \leq n - p$, and thus $n - p \geq \operatorname{sdepth}(J/I)$.

Now, we prove the second inequality. If $p = 0$, then, by [7, Theorem 1.1], we have $\operatorname{sdepth}(J/I) = \operatorname{sdepth}(J) = n - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$ and we are done. Also, in the case $p = q$, we are done by Lemma 2.3. Assume $1 \leq p < q$. Since $J \subset I$ we can assume that $v_1 | u_1$. Note that $v_j \nmid u_1$ for all $j > 1$. Indeed, if $p \geq 2$ and $v_2 | u_1$, then $\operatorname{supp}(v_1) \cap \operatorname{supp}(v_2) \supseteq \operatorname{supp}(u_1)$, a contradiction with the fact that v_1, v_2 is a regular sequence. Thus, using induction, we may assume $v_i | u_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p$. We denote $J_1 = (u_1, \ldots, u_p)$ and $J_2 = (u_{p+1}, \ldots, u_q)$.

We use decomposition $(J_1, J_2)/I = (J_1, J_2)/J_1 \oplus J_1/I$. Using [3, Corollary 2.4(5)] and [6, Theorem 1.1], we get $\operatorname{sdepth}((J_1, J_2)/J_1) \geq \operatorname{sdepth}_S(S/J_1) - \left\lfloor \frac{q-p}{2} \right\rfloor = n - p - \left\lfloor \frac{q-p}{2} \right\rfloor$. On the other hand if $I \subsetneq J_1$, by Lemma 2.3, $\operatorname{sdepth}(J_1/I) = n - p$. Thus, we get $\operatorname{sdepth}((J_1, J_2)/I) \geq n - p - \left\lfloor \frac{q-p}{2} \right\rfloor$, as required. $\square$

Corollary 2.5. *With the notations of* 2.4, *if* $q = p + 1$, *then* $\operatorname{sdepth}(J/I) = n - p$.

Corollary 2.6. *If* $J \subsetneq I \subset S$ *are two monomial complete intersection ideals, then* $\operatorname{sdepth}(J/I) \geq \operatorname{depth}(J/I)$.

Proof. It is enough to notice that $\operatorname{depth}(J/I) = n - q + 1$ if $q > p$, or $\operatorname{depth}(J/I) = n - q$ if $q = p$ and than apply Theorem 2.4. ☐

Lemma 2.7. *Let* $1 \leq m < n$ *be an integer,* $I \subsetneq J \subset S' := K[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ *be two distinct monomial ideals and let* $u \in S'' = K[x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_n]$ *be a monomial. Then*

$$\operatorname{sdepth}_S \frac{(J,u)}{(I,u)} = \operatorname{sdepth}_S \left(\frac{JS}{IS} \right) - 1.$$

Proof. Since $\operatorname{sdepth}_{S''}(S''/u) = n - m - 1$, the " $\geq$ " inequality follows by Lemma 2.1. In order to prove the other inequality, let $(J,u)/(I,u) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} v_j K[Z_j]$ be a Stanley decomposition, with its Stanley depth equal with $\operatorname{sdepth}_S((J,u)/(I,u))$. Note that $J/I = ((J,u)/(I,u)) \cap S'$. Indeed, as in the proof of Lemma 1.1, one can easily see that a monomial $v \in (J,u) \setminus (I,u)$ if and only if $v = v' \cdot v''$ for some monomials $v' \in J \setminus I$ and $v'' \in S'' \setminus (u)$.

It follows that $J/I = (\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} v_j K[Z_j]) \cap S' = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} (v_j K[Z_j] \cap S')$. If $v_j \notin S'$, obviously, then $v_j K[Z_j] \cap S' = \{0\}$. If $v_j \in S'$, then $v_j K[Z_j] \cap S' = v_j K[Z_j \setminus \{x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_n\}]$. Note that $\{x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_n\} \nsubseteq Z_j$, because $uS \cap v_j K[Z_j] = \{0\}$. Thus, $|Z_j \setminus \{x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_n\}| \geq |Z_j| + n - m + 1$. Thus, we obtained a Stanley decomposition for J/I with its Stanley depth $\geq \operatorname{sdepth}((J,u)(I,u)) + n - m + 1$. It follows that $\operatorname{sdepth}_S(JS/IS) = \operatorname{sdepth}_{S'}(J/I) + n - m \geq \operatorname{sdepth}((J,u)(I,u)) + 1$, as required. ☐

Lemma 2.8. *Let* $1 \leq m < n$ *be an integer,* $J \subset S' := K[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ *be a monomial ideals and let* $u|v \in S'' = K[x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_n]$ *be two distinct monomials. Then*

$$\operatorname{sdepth}_S \left((\frac{(J,u)}{(J,v)}) \right) = \operatorname{sdepth}_S \left((\frac{S}{JS}) \right) - 1.$$

Proof. As in the proof of the previous Lemma, by 2.1, we get the " $\geq$ " inequality. In order to prove the other inequality, note that $(J,u)/(J,v) \cap uS' = u(S'/J)$. Indeed, a monomial $v \in (J,u)/(J,v)$ if and only if $v = v' \cdot v''$ for some monomials $v' \in S' \setminus J$ and $v'' \in (u)/(v)$. Using the similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.7, we are done. ☐

Now, we are able to prove the following Theorem, which generalize Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 2.9. *Let* $u_1, \ldots, u_q \in S$ *and* $v_1, \ldots, v_p \in S$ *be two regular sequences with* $v_i | u_i$ *for all* $1 \leq i \leq p$, *where* $q \geq p$ *are positive integers. We consider the monomial ideals* $J = (u_1, \ldots, u_p) \subset S$ *and* $I = (v_1, \ldots, v_m)$. *We assume also that* $u_{p+1}, \ldots, u_q$ *is a regular sequence on* S/I. *Then* $\operatorname{sdepth}(J/I) = n - p - \left\lfloor \frac{q-p}{2} \right\rfloor$.

Proof. We use induction on p. If $p=0$, by [7] and [4, Lemma 3.6], we have $\operatorname{sdepth}(J/I) = n-\lfloor q/2 \rfloor$ as required. If $q=p$, by Lemma 2.4, we get $\operatorname{sdepth}(J/I)=n-p$ and we are done. Now, assume $1 \leq p < q$. We denote $J_1=(u_2,\ldots,u_q)$ and $I_1=(u_2,\ldots,u_p)$. By induction hypothesis, we have $\operatorname{sdepth}(J_1/I_1) = n-p+1-\left\lfloor \frac{(q-1)-(p-1)}{2} \right\rfloor = n-p-\left\lfloor \frac{q-p}{2} \right\rfloor + 1$. If $u_1=v_1$, by Lemma 2.7, it follows that $\operatorname{sdepth}(J/I)=n-p-\left\lfloor \frac{q-p}{2} \right\rfloor$, so we may assume $u_1 \neq v_1$. Either way, by Theorem 2.5, we have $\operatorname{sdepth}(J/I) \geq n-p-\left\lfloor \frac{q-p}{2} \right\rfloor$. Note that this inequality can be deduced also from Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.8, using the decomposition $J/I=(J_1,u_1)/(I_1,u_1) \oplus (I_1,u_1)/(I_1,v_1)$.

In order to prove the other inequality, we consider a Stanley decomposition $J/I = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} w_j K[Z_j]$ with its Stanley depth equal to $\operatorname{sdepth}(J/I)$. Since, by hypothesis, $u_2,\ldots,u_q$ is a regular sequence on S/v_1, by reordering of variables, we may assume that $\operatorname{supp}(v_1)=\{x_{m+1},\ldots,x_n\}$ and $u_2,\ldots,u_q \in S' := K[x_1,\ldots,x_m]$, where $1 \leq m < n$ is an integer. Thus J_1 and I_1 are the extension in S for some monomial ideals in $\bar{J}_1, \bar{I}_1 \subset S'$ generated by the same monomials as J_1 and I_1.

We claim that $\bar{J}_1/\bar{I}_1 = (J/I) \cap S'$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.7, we have $(J_1,u_1)/(I_1,u_1) \cap S' = \bar{J}_1/\bar{I}_1$, therefore, it is enough to notice that $(I_1,u_1)/(I_1,v_1) \cap S' = \{0\}$. Indeed, any monomial from $(I_1,u_1) \setminus (I_1,v_1)$ is a multiple of u_1 and therefore it cannot belong to S'. Thus, as in the proof of Lemma 2.7, we get a Stanley decomposition for $\bar{J}_1/\bar{I}_1$ with its Stanley depth $\geq \operatorname{sdepth}_{S'}(J_1/I_1)+n-m+1 = \operatorname{sdepth}_S(J_1/I_1)$ and therefore $\operatorname{sdepth}(J/I) \leq n-p-\left\lfloor \frac{q-p}{2} \right\rfloor$, which complete the proof. ☐

Remark 2.10. *Note that the hypothesis $u_{p+1},\ldots,u_q$ is a regular sequence on S/I from the Theorem* 2.9 *is essential in order to have the equality. Take for instance $J=(x_1,x_2,x_3) \subset S$ and $I=(x_1x_2x_3$. Then $J/I = x_1K[x_1,x_2] \oplus x_2K[x_2,x_3] \oplus x_3K[x_1,x_3]$ is a Stanley decomposition for J/I and therefore* $\operatorname{sdepth}(J/I) = 2 > 3-1-\left\lfloor \frac{3-1}{2} \right\rfloor = 1$.

We end our paper with the following result, which generalize [3, Proposition 2.7] and [5, Proposition 1.3].

Proposition 2.11. *Let $I \subset J \subset S$ be two monomial ideals and let $u \in S$ be a monomial. Then, either $(I:u)=(J:u)$, either* $\operatorname{sdepth}((J:u)/(I:u)) \geq \operatorname{sdepth}(J/I)$.

Proof. It is enough to consider the case $u=x_1$ and to assume that $(I:x_1) \subsetneq (J:x_1)$. Firstly, note that $x_1(I:x_1)=I \cap (x_1)$ and $x_1(J:x_1)=J \cap (x_1)$. Therefore, we have $(J:x_1)/(I:x_1) \cong (J \cap (x_1))/(I \cap (x_1)) \cong (J/I) \cap (x_1)$, as K-vector spaces.

Let $J/I = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} u_iK[Z_i]$ be a Stanley decomposition for J/I. It follows that $(J/I) \cap (x_1) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r}(u_iK[Z_i] \cap x_1S)$. One can easily see that, if $x_1 \notin \operatorname{supp}(u_i) \cup Z_i$, then $u_iK[Z_i] \cap x_1S = \{0\}$. Otherwise, we claim that $u_iK[Z_i] \cap x_1S = LCM(u_i,x_1)K[Z_i]$. Indeed, if $x_1|u_i$, then $u_iK[Z_i] \subset x_1S$ and the previous equality holds. If $x_1 \nmid u_i$, then $x_1 \in Z_i$ and $LCM(u_i,x_1)=x_1u_i$. Obviously, we get $x_1u_iK[Z_i] \subset u_iK[Z_i] \cap x_1S$. For the other inclusion, chose $v \in u_iK[Z_i] \cap x_1S$ a monomial. It follows that $v \in u_iK[Z_i]$ and $x_1|v$ and thus $x_1u_i|v$, since $x_1 \nmid u_i$. Therefore, $v \in x_1u_iK[Z_i]$ and we are done.

By our assumption that $(I:x_1) \subsetneq (J:x_1)$, there exists some i such that $u_iK[Z_i] \cap x_1S \neq \{0\}$. Thus, we obtain a Stanley decomposition for $(J/I) \cap (x_1)$ with its Stanley depth $\geq$ than the Stanley depth of the given decomposition for J/I. ☐

References

[1] Csaba Biro, David M.Howard, Mitchel T.Keller, William T.Trotter, Stephen J.Young "Interval partitions and Stanley depth", Preprint 2008.

[2] M. Cimpoeas, *Stanley depth for monomial complete intersection*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie **51(99), no.3**, (2008), 205-211.

[3] M. Cimpoeas, *Several inequalities regarding Stanley depth*, Romanian Journal of Math. and Computer Science **2(1)**, (2012), 28-40.

[4] J. Herzog, M. Vladoiu, X. Zheng, *How to compute the Stanley depth of a monomial ideal*, Journal of Algebra **322(9)**, (2009), 3151-3169.

[5] D. Popescu, *An inequality between depth and Stanley depth*, http://arxiv.org/pdf/0905.4597.pdf, Preprint 2010.

[6] A. Rauf, *Stanley Decompositions, Pretty Clean Filtrations and Reductions Modulo Regular Elements*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie, **50(98)**, (2007), 347-354.

[7] Y. Shen, *Stanley depth of complete intersection monomial ideals and upper-discrete partitions*, Journal of Algebra **321(2009)**, 1285-1292.

[8] R. P. Stanley, Linear Diophantine equations and local cohomology, Invent. Math. **68**, 1982, 175-193.

Mircea Cimpoeaş, Simion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics, Research unit 5, P.O.Box 1-764,
Bucharest 014700, Romania
E-mail: mircea.cimpoeas@imar.ro